

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES AND RESEARCH

9239/11 May/June 2019

Paper 1 Written Examination MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 30

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2019 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[™], Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Cambridge International AS/A Level – Mark Scheme PUBLISHED

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate

marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do

marks are not deducted for errors

marks are not deducted for omissions

answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

https://xtremepape.rs/

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

	Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
--	----------	--------	-------	----------

Note

The mark scheme cannot cover all points that candidates may make for all of the questions. In some cases, candidates may think of very strong answers which the mark scheme has not predicted. These answers should be credited according to their quality. If examiners are in any doubt about an answer, they should contact their Team Leader or Principal Examiner. For answers marked by levels of response:

- (a) Mark grids describe the top of each level.
- (b) **To determine the level** start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer.
- (c) To determine the mark within the level, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark				
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level				
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level, or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)				
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle, or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)				
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level				

Assessment Objectives for Global Perspectives

AO1 Research, analysis and evaluation	 analyse arguments to understand how they are structured and on what they are based analyse perspectives and understand the different claims, reasons, arguments, views and evidence they contain synthesise relevant and credible research/text in support of judgements about arguments and perspectives critically evaluate the strengths, weaknesses and implications of reasoning in arguments and overall perspectives critically evaluate the nature of different arguments and perspectives use research/text to support judgements about arguments and perspectives
---	---

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1(a)	Identify and explain <u>two</u> types of gender inequality in sport given by the author of Document 1.	4	Credit 0 marks for answers that: simply quote examples of inequality
	Credit 1 mark each		
	for a correct identification and correct explanation for up to two types e.g.		e.g. Abby Wambach, US Women's World Cup football team
	Identify		·
	income /payment for work/ fees/earnings (in sport)√		give general inequalities in life
	representation (on sporting organisations)√		rather than directly in sport:
	stereotype (of what girls can do (in sport)✓		e.g. being unempowered
	This may be expressed in a sentence e.g.		are from the candidate's own
	One type of gender inequality in sport is how much men and women are paid. \checkmark		knowledge
	Explain		with no creditworthy material.
	This should focus directly upon the unequal nature		, ,
	Women in sport are paid less than men for the same work √ (despite being better or more successful)		If more than two types are identified, mark all and then choose the best
	There are fewer women than men represented in sporting organisations/bodies (e.g. FIFA/IOC/national level clubs.) (implied)√		combination of answers to the candidate's benefit. (Ensure that only
	Women, themselves, address the negative stereotypes of their ability by addressing the positive opportunities sport offers. \checkmark		ticks that count are included)

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1(a)	Credit 2 marks if the correct identification and explanation are not separated/examples of 2 mark answers e.g.		
	Sportsmen and sportswomen have unequal incomes \checkmark because a man gets paid more for doing the same type of work as a woman \checkmark (even if less successful).		
	An example of gender inequality is the lack of women's representation \checkmark in all sporting organisations \checkmark (like FIFA and International Olympic Committee)		
	A form of inequality is the stereotype \checkmark of what girls can do. This implies that women are not as capable of being good sports players as men. \checkmark		
	Credit up to one mark If a correct answer quotes wholly from the text without any synthesis of the information.		

Cambridge International AS/A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

May/June 2019

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1(b)	The author of Document 1 reports on the work that UN Women has done to support women's equality in sport.	2	Credit 0 marks for: forming exciting partnerships e.g. Brazil as these are intentions yet to be
	Explain <u>one</u> specific way in which UN Women have promoted this.		done.
	Credit 1 mark for a correct identification of one specific way and 1 mark for a correct explanation of how this promotes women's equality in sport e.g.		general statements e.g. showing women in the best positive light, providing skills that women need,
	the UN Women HeforShe campaign \checkmark encourages men and boys to take action against inequalities in sport that women and girls face \checkmark .		protecting women's financial interests in sport, works to promote women being represented in all sporting organisations
	the UN Women logo on football jerseys√ prompts questions about UN Women which the wearers can answer in their own words about the work it does to women's equality in sport.		answers taken from the candidate's own knowledge
	Credit up to one mark in total If a correct answer quotes a chunk from the text without any synthesis of the information		answers with no creditworthy material e.g. FIFA, IOC
	e.g. 'HeForShe aims to involve men and boys as agents for change by encouraging them to take action against the inequalities faced by women and girls. Through this campaign, we are engaging men and boys as positive role models to encourage gender equality in sport.'		If more than one type is identified, mark both and then choose the best combination of answers to the candidate's benefit. (Ensure that only ticks that count are included)

https://xtremepape.rs/

Question Answer	Marks	Guidance
Question Answer 2 Assess the strengths and weaknesses of the author's argument in Document 1 about sport and gender equality. 2 Use the levels-based marking opposite to credit marks. No set answer is expected and examiners should be flexible in their approach. Candidates may include some of the following: Strengths clear conclusion and structure – It is therefore important supported by the benefits that sport brings to gender equality and ways that the cause is taken forward by UN Women. uses a first-hand source – Abby Wambach's evidence about being paid far less than male equivalents with less success supports the need for action. uses common knowledge – 'and you will all remember'USA women's winners being paid less than USA men's losershelps convince of the need for action. uses an inclusive perspective – She addresses an audience and engages them using us, we, you will all, concl we see sportto rally support and fellow feeling. uses relevant examples – USA Women's World Cup team illustrates earning less than men, Brazil illustrates partnerships, Valencia F C illustrates logo advert gives some balance – She gives evidence both for the financial problems that sport causes women, but also how sport can work on their behalf for equality. expertise to know what works – She is the Executive Director of UN Women, so is well placed to have access to information about the problem and to make an informed judgement about what will work as strategies.	10	

Cambridge International AS/A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Question	Answer	Marks		Guidance
2	 vested interest to present accurate information – As the Executive Director of UN Women she would have vested interest to present information accurately to maintain both her professionalism and that of the organisation in the public's eyes. Weaknesses lacks balance, narrow perspective – The argument doesn't respond to any alternative perspectives about women's place in sport. uses unsourced statistics – Her statistics about the Women's World Cup Football have no source which limits their authority. examples may not be typical – If the examples of Abby Wambach and the USA women's football team are not typical of what happens in other countries, then her concerns raised are weakened. gives no evidence of whether the UN Women actions are successful – Many of her claims describe what is being done: Brazil – partnership – 'we 	-	Level 24–7 marksAnswers focus more on either the strengths or weaknesses, although both are present/identified. Assessment identifies strength or weakness of evidence with little explanation. Assessment of argument is relevant but generalised, not always linked to specific evidence or specific claims. Communication is accurate – explanation and reasoning is limited, but clearly expressedLevel 11–3 marks	
	 intend to enrich', Valencia Football club – Heforshe – 'helped us to take our campaign', and UN Women logo – 'causes a conversation', with no indication of whether these are successful. uses a sweeping claim – 'lt is only those who know how to play' Others might equally be able to engage with the spectators to explain about the UN Women's cause. the examples of UN Women's actions don't address the income difference directly – None of the UN Women actions directly address the problem raised about the inequality in income for women in sport. vested interest – As the Executive Director of UN Women she may have a vested interest to raise high profile campaigns and imply their success in order to support the organisation. 		Answers show assessment of Assessment in Evidence may weakness ma Communicatio may be curso Credit 0 marks for	w little or no of argument/s. f any is simplistic. y be identified and by be named. on is limited – response ory or descriptive.

https://xtremepape.rs/

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	Both authors consider solutions to gender inequality issues in sport. To what extent is the author's argument in Document 2 more convincing than that of the author in Document 1?	14	Use the levels-based marking grid below and the indicative content in the left hand column to credit marks.
	No set answer is expected and examiners should be flexible in their approach. Candidates may include some of the following:		For each bullet give a level (that can include split levels e.g. L2/L1) to inform the overall level and mark within the
	More Convincing		available range. Use X for Level 0
	 More emotional, so more persuasive solution – Roberts (Doc 2) uses emotionally charged language to engage the reader e.g. <i>spectacular, , shocking, scream and shout, victims.</i> Also the name of her website <i>africanwomanwarrior</i> is a call to action; whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) uses restrained phrases such as <i>I don't even have the words.</i> More extensive in the solution of a call to action – Roberts (Doc 2) calls all women to action e.g. <i>Women have the power;</i> whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) presents an argument to recognise sport's place <i>as an important building block towards women's equality.</i> More wide ranging evidence – Roberts (Doc 2) refers to inequality in javelin, field hockey, rugby and football; whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) uses evidence largely based on football. 		These should be placed at the end of the answer with the overall level in the right- hand margin. There is no requirement to use technical terms to access any level and candidates will NOT be rewarded for their use unless they link them directly to the assessments made.
	Less convincing		
	 Less global solution – Roberts (Doc 2) calls women to action in one area, South Africa – a national perspective e.g. Women have the power; whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) gives an argument to recognise sport's place in the UN Women, as a 'building block towards women's equality' as a global perspective, which as a solution will reach more countries. Less (none) use of statistical evidence – Roberts (Doc 2) uses no statistical evidence; whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) supports her argument for gender inequality and therefore the need for a solution with convincing statistics about the USA women's and men's football teams' income. 		

	PUBLISHED				
Question	Answer	Marks	ks Guidance		
3	 Less (none) personal evidence – Roberts (Doc 2) includes no direct evidence from individuals with personal experience of gender inequality, whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) gives the experience of Abby Wambach. More emotional with fewer specific actions suggested – Roberts (Doc 2) is a rally call to inspire action with no other direction than to <i>speak out</i>, <i>scream, shout,</i> and <i>protest;</i> whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) refers to specific policies: <i>partnerships, relationships, Heforshe, UN Women logo</i> as specific solutions. Less authority for the solution – Roberts (Doc 2) appears to be a concerned individual activist, whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) has the authority of her position in the United Nations and previous Deputy President of South Africa to give strength to her solution. Less personal experience in finding solutions – Roberts (Doc 2) appears to be a concerned individual activist, whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) has the authority of her position in the United Nations and previous Deputy President of South Africa to give strength to her solution. Less personal experience of meeting gender inequality challenges in her successful quest to be the first woman to be Deputy President of South Africa. Neither more or less convincing because different Different perspective – Roberts (Doc 2) takes a national perspective to solve women's inequality in sport in South Africa, whereas Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) looks for an international solution. Roberts' (Doc 2) solution of protest and Mlambo-Ngcuka's (Doc 1) solution of influencing communities' attitudes could be used together rather than being seen as one being better than the other. 		reasoned thro Alternative per sustained ass Critical evaluat raised in the per explicit reference Explanation a effective, according expressed. Communication clear evidence argument with stated and dir assessment. Level 2 Judgement is One perspect upon for ass Evaluation is prelate to key is Explanation a generally according Evidence of a	rspectives have essment. ation is of key issues bassages and has ence. Ind reasoning is highly curate and clearly on is highly effective – e of a structured cogent is conclusions explicitly ectly linked to the 5–9 marks reasoned . tive may be focused essment. present but may not ssues. Ind reasoning is	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidar	nce			
3	Because the same		Level 1	1–4 marks			
	 Both solutions clearly argued – with conclusion and clear structure of reasons – Roberts (Doc 2) about the role of sport in the sustainable development goals for women's equality and Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) about women's power to achieve equality in sport. Both support their solution with evidence and examples – Roberts (Doc 2) about national teams from Australia, Ghana, Africa and an individual, Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) about teams from USA, Valencia and partners from Brazil. Both use unsourced evidence – (see above) Roberts (Doc 2) takes it to be known and Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) uses her own authority as the source. Both use some measure of emotion to persuade – Roberts (Doc 2) uses this more extensively (see above) and Mlambo-Ngcuka (Doc 1) expresses her concern <i>I don't even have the words</i> and <i>destroy the stereotype</i>. Judgement Candidates should critically assess perspectives and the use of examples and evidence in order to reach a judgement. In doing this they might conclude that Roberts' solution (Doc 2) is more 		Level 1 1–4 ma Judgement, if present, is unsupported or superficial. Alternative perspectives have little no assessment. Evaluation, if any, is simplistic/undeveloped Relevant evidence or reasons ma be identified. Communication is limited. Respon may be cursory. Credit 0 marks where no creditable material. Use X in the level summary				
	convincing because it is a call to women's power, has some balance and refers to commonly known evidence.						
	Alternatively, they might conclude that overall, despite being a more formal speech and less impassioned, Mlambo-Ngcuka's argument (Doc 1) is a more convincing solution because of the personal insight and practical solutions put forward.						
	Credit should be given to any alternative judgement on the basis of the assessment and reasoning, such as both being equally convincing.						